SCOPING DOCUMENT FOR SCRUTINY REVIEW

GENERAL INFORMATION

Title of Scrutiny Review	Outcomes for care leavers
Membership of the	Councillors:
Sub Group for Pre-panel	Rachel Hodson
work	Alan Jones
	Sue Wilkinson
	Sue McGuinness
	Andrew Bosmans
	Charlie Hogarth
	Barbara Hoyle
Lead Councillor	Councillor Rachel Hodson, Chair of the Schools Children
	and Young People Scrutiny Panel
Co-options/Invitees	None for this review
Relevant Executive	Councillors Tony Corden and Nuala Fennelly
Councillor(s)	
Lead Officer(s) from	Winston Davidson, Service Manager, Children in Care
Theme Area	Ian Walker, Head of Service, Children in Care
Scrutiny Officer	Christine Rothwell

TERMS OF REFERENCE

Purpose of the Review	To consider outcomes for care leavers
Main Lines of Enquiry	Is the Council meeting it's statutory obligations and ensuring it is not failing young people through the process of leaving local authority care.
	How is:- Support gradually removed and the young person prepared? Living independently/housing/supported and tenancies introduced? Support addressed for identified substance misuse? Further education and training addressed? Seeking employment addressed?

ANTICIPATED OUTCOMES FROM THE REVIEW

Benefits of the Review	To understand, challenge and look for improvements
	with the care leavers process to ensure each young
	person has been prepared to live independently.
Contribution to	To be a critical friend in relation to the priorities and
performance indicators	challenges facing the Children and Young People's
and/or current corporate	Service Directorate.
priorities	

RISKS AND IMPLICATIONS

Risks	The review needs to be timely to ensure it can provide some recommendations that will assist the Council and partners in responding to challenges faced.
Legal Implications	Any legal implications should be borne in mind during development of recommendations. Relevant implications will be considered during the course of the review.
Financial Implications	Any financial implications should be borne in mind during the development of recommendations. Relevant implications will be considered during the course of the review.
Resource Implications	It is envisaged there will not be any significant resource implications for the review. It is proposed that the review will be undertaken with officers where evidence will be presented to the Overview and Scrutiny Members. Officers will need to give their time to attend the meetings, briefings and review of recommendations. The Scrutiny Officer will make the necessary meeting and support arrangements.

PROJECTED TIMESCALES

Date for Approval of Scoping Document by Scrutiny Panel	TBC
	 Schools Children and Young People Scrutiny Panel 10th September, to receive evidence from DMBC Officers and partners. <u>10th September, 2013</u> The Panel will consider a young person's life:- a) Running up to leaving care b) During the transition period c) When a young person is settled in their own accommodation/left Local Authority care Receive evidence from/on:- Officers and Partners; Statutory requirements; Service provided over and above statutory requirements; Benchmarking evidence from an outstanding authority; Evidence gathered by the Panel's pre-review group; Performance data is to be provided on the number of young people who are: In Education and Employment;
	Attainment at the end of their statutory school life; Those that attend university; Number that go into apprenticeships;

In receipt of benefits;
Known to the police/YOS/imprisoned; and Returning to live with family. Partners to be invited: St Leger Homes CAMHS Health representative YOS – Graham Hobson, Head of Service Doncaster College South Yorkshire Police <u>Site Visits – 16th August, 2013</u> Drop in Centre at Elmfield House Semi-independent housing <u>Meet young people at Corporate Parenting Panel, 3rd</u> <u>September, 2013</u> Meeting with Foster Carers 4 th September, 2013
TBC